



121

CWP-23319-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 13-09-2024

RAVINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, AAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that they are not being paid the salary for which they are entitled for keeping in view of the fact that similarly situated employees working in the other states have already been allowed higher salary whereas the State of Punjab has not revised despite direction by the Government of Punjab in this regard. Reliance has been placed upon the Guidelines issued by the Government of India, copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-3.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 07.06.2024 (Annexure P-8) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a

direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 07.06.2024 (Annexure P-8) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

13-09-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO