



132 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8373-2024

Date of Decision : 02.09.2024

Sandeep Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Lovepreet Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 9, who are stated to be family members of petitioner No.1.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, aged about 20 years and petitioner No.2, aged about 29 years, got married on 27.08.2024 against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 9. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation/complaint dated 28.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) was also made to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Dabwali, District Dabwali wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned



counsel submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps have been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Dabwali, District Dabwali to look into their representation/complaint dated 28.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Dabwali, District Dabwali to look into the representation/complaint dated 28.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

September 02, 2024
poonam

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No