



ARB-408-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

105

ARB-408-2024

Date of decision:31.08.2024

M/S GARG AND COMPANY

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Ms. Mona Yadav, Advocate for
Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present application filed under Section 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, (for short 'the Act') read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, petitioner has approached this Court for extension of mandate of the learned Arbitrator for a period of 04 months.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that by order dated 26.08.2022, Annexure P-1, this Court had appointed an Arbitrator on a petition filed by the petitioner. He submits that the arbitral proceedings are at an advanced stage, however, the time period for conclusion of the proceedings has expired. He has placed reliance upon the judgment of the Bombay High Court in **K.I.P.L. Vistacore Infra Projects J.V. Versus**

Municipal Corporation of the city of Ichalkarnji 2024 SCC OnLine

Bom 327 to submit that where an Arbitrator has been appointed by the High Court, the High Court will have the jurisdiction to extend the time period for making the award.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Upon instructions from Mr. Navneet Kumar, Superintending Engineer & Mr. Ritesh Aggarwal, Executive Engineer PWD (B&R) Division No.1, Ambala, he submits that the respondents do not have any objection, in case, the time period is extended.
5. Accordingly, petition is allowed.
6. Time period for concluding the arbitral proceedings is extended by a period of 04 months from the date a copy of this order is communicated to the learned Arbitrator.

31.08.2024

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

sheetal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No