



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

224

CRM-M-42487-2024

Date of decision: 28.11.2024

Pal Singh alias Pal Masih

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. I. S. Dhaliwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C./Section 482 BNSS, in case FIR No.82 dated 19.07.2024 under Sections 333/115(2), 351(2), 3(5) of the BNS of 2023, registered at Police Station Arniwala, District Fazilka.

2. On the last date of hearing, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that it is a case of version and cross version and an entirely exaggerated version has been brought forth in the FIR in question which was lodged at the instance of the opposite party after a delay of 13 days from the date of alleged occurrence. While drawing the attention of this Court to the FIR annexed as Annexure P-1, it has been submitted that although the petitioner has been named therein, however, the petitioner has been attributed a simple injury with a baseball bat on the shoulder of brother of complainant Subhash. It has also been submitted that in fact the

occurrence had not taken place inside the house of the complainant but outside his house and in the alleged occurrence as many as three injuries were received by the petitioner's side which were much more serious in nature. ”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 30.08.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is allowed and interim order dated 30.08.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS/ 438(2) Cr.P.C..

28.11.2024.
anil

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No