

LPA-2046-2024 (O&M)

- 2-

which were in fact not advertised on 06.01.2022. Learned Single Bench on considering the facts and circumstances besides the documents on record found no merit in the writ petition, which was accordingly dismissed vide order dated 09.08.2024. Aggrieved therefrom present appeal has been filed.

3. Learned counsel for the appellants vehemently argues that private respondents have been incorrectly appointed qua posts in question which were in fact never advertised. The requisition in the advertisement was for 312 posts. Thereafter selection has been made qua 418 posts. The posts could not be increased by way of Corrigendum(s) dated 04.07.2022 (Annexure P2) and 30.11.2022 (Annexure P3). It is submitted that appellants have been deeply prejudiced inasmuch as in case the said vacancies had been advertised again by the concerned department for whom the selection was not even carried out appellants would have had chance to compete for the same. It is therefore prayed that this appeal be allowed and CWP-19071-2024 filed by the appellants be allowed as prayed for.

4. Learned counsel for the State on advance notice prays for dismissal of the appeal while submitting that impugned order dated 09.08.2024 has been correctly passed by learned Single Bench on the basis of the facts of the case. He further submitted that private respondents were duly selected qua 418 posts. However, Department of Science, Technology and Environment required Steno Typists having proficiency in English typing whereas the private respondents were proficient in Punjabi typing which was the requirement as per the advertisement. It is in this situation that private respondents, who are duly selected candidates were adjusted

LPA-2046-2024 (O&M)

- 3-

with the Health and Family Welfare Department. Moreover, appellants remained unsuccessful in the selection process, therefore, writ petition itself was not maintainable. It is thus prayed that this appeal be dismissed.

5. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and have carefully perused the file as well as impugned order dated 09.08.2024.

6. The factual aspects as narrated above are not in dispute. Learned counsel for the appellants is unable to deny that present appellants were indeed unsuccessful in the selection process, whereas private respondents had been duly selected. Insofar as the question of selection made qua 418 posts instead of 312 vacancies is concerned, it is to be noticed that appellants had not raised any such objection at the relevant time and had duly participated in the selection process, therefore this ground is not available to them at this stage.

7. No other argument has been addressed.

8. Learned counsel for appellants is unable to point out any illegality, infirmity or irregularity in the impugned order dated 09.08.2024 passed by learned Single Judge.

9. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above, this appeal is dismissed with no order as to cost.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

31.08.2024.
komal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No