



CWP-21429-2024

-1-

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-21429-2024
Date of Decision: 24.09.2024**

Manoj Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the order dated 22.11.2022 (Annexure P-2) vide which the petitioner was temporarily shifted to another station which is beyond 20 kilometers with further prayer in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to give travelling allowance to the petitioner for the period from which the petitioner is shifted to another station i.e. at office of respondent No.5 and also direct the respondents to pay arrears of travelling allowance beyond the period of 180 days since the petitioner has been temporarily shifted with bank interest.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had served a representation dated 05.08.2024 vide Annexure P-4 to the respondent-Nigam but the same has not been considered and decided till date. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case the aforesaid representation dated 05.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) is



considered and decided by respondent No.2-Chief Engineer, Administration UHBVN, Panchkula by passing a speaking order in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that she has no objection, in case, a direction is issued to respondent No.2 for considering and deciding the representation dated 05.08.2024 filed by the petitioner vide Annexure P-4 in accordance with law and within a fixed time framework.
5. In view of the aforesaid position, without calling for the reply from the respondents and without observing anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 05.08.2024 filed by the petitioner vide Annexure P-4 strictly in accordance with law by passing a well-reasoned speaking order within a period of three months from today.

24.09.2024

Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No