



CWP-21572-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

117

CWP-21572-2024

Date of Decision: 31.08.2024

RAMESH CHAND RUSTAGI

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the order dated 22.07.2024, Annexure P-3, passed by respondent No.2-Director General Higher Education, whereby the petitioner's request for counting probation period towards fixation of pension and other benefits, has been rejected.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends, although the case has been rejected by the second respondent vide the impugned order, he wishes to make a representation again in light of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No.22558 of 2016 titled *Suraj Parkash Bajaj v. State of Haryana and others*, and the second respondent may be directed to expeditiously decide the same.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Krishan K. Chahal, Additional A. G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents, and submits that in case any such



representation is filed by the petitioner, the same will be decided by the second respondent expeditiously.

5. In view thereof, without going into the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a representation before the respondents within a period of two weeks from today. In case any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the same shall be decided by the second respondent-Director General Higher Education, by passing a speaking order thereupon, within a period of three months of receiving the representation.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

31.08.2024
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>