



CWP-21427-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(111)

CWP-21427-2024

Date of Decision : August 31, 2024

Suruchi

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Tarun Jhatta, Advocate, for the petitioner.
(joined through VC)

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the claim of the petitioner is that similarly situated employee has been granted the benefit of pay fixation and seniority from the date the other batch mates were appointed in the year 2018 while passing an order by this Court in ***CWP No.18085 of 2018 titled as Dr. Pardeep Kumar vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 07.03.2024*** hence, the petitioner being similarly situated should also be extended the benefit of the said judgment keeping in view settled principle of law settled by the Division Bench of this Court in ***CWP No. 4382 of 2002 titled as Satbir Singh Vs. State of Haryana, decided on 21.03.2002.***

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 22.06.2024 (Annexure P-5) which is still pending

**CWP-21427-2024****2**

consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 22.06.2024 (Annexure P-5) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for her information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

August 31, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No