

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

2024:PHHC:113179



[CRM-M-42863-2024](#)

Date of Decision:- 31.08.2024

123

Ashish Sharma

...Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T. and another

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present: Mrs.Promila Nain Advocate and  
Mr.Amit Thakur, Advocate  
for the petitioner.  
Mr.Manish Bansal, P.P.,U.T., Chandigarh.

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)**

1. The grievance of the petitioner which propelled him to file the instant petition is that application of the petitioner for stay the further proceedings before the learned trial court concerned, has not been decided by the learned Revisional court concerned, despite the service effected upon the respondent concerned.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has made a prayer that a direction be issued to the learned Revisional court concerned to decide the stay application which is pending consideration.
3. This Court finds that the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is bona fide. Therefore, at this stage without issuing notice to the respondent, the revisional court is directed to make endeavor to decide the application for stay within one month from today.

With the above direction, this petition stands **disposed of**.

31.08.2024  
*sd*

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.  
Whether reportable: Yes/No.