

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

224

CWP-26824-2019  
Date of Decision :09.04.2024

Ashok Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Devender S. Punia, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana.

\* \* \*

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner has competed for the post of conductor as advertised by the respondents vide advertisement No.4/2017, category No.2 and the petitioner cleared the selection process and his name was recommended for appointment on the said post but despite recommendation, the benefit of appointment is not being granted to the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the present petition was filed in the year 2019 and despite the expiry of five years, no reply on behalf of the respondents has been filed so far, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner hence, the claim of the petitioner be decided on the basis of the record already available.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that it is regretted

that despite expiry of 05 years reply has not been filed on behalf of the respondents but as per the documents, which have been supplied by the respondent-commission, there is mismatch in the bio-metric details of the petitioner due to which, he was not granted the said benefit.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing has been conveyed to the petitioner qua the said averment of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that appropriate speaking order qua the claim of the petitioner for the grant of appointment on the said post will be passed within a period of 04 weeks from today and in case it is found feasible to grant the said relief, the same will be extended to the petitioner otherwise, due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner supported by evidence, will be conveyed to the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

April 09, 2024  
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No