



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.42822 of 2024**

Date of decision: 4<sup>th</sup> November, 2024

Mayur Gupta

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Dharamvir Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, Asst. Advocate General, Haryana  
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Amit K. Boker, Advocate for  
Mr. Amrish Gur, Advocate for respondent No.2.

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.90 dated 28.09.2023 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 419 and 420 of the IPC, 1860 and Section 66C of the Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008 registered at Police Station DLF-II, Gurugram, District Gurugram along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 12.8.2024 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 02.09.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurugram, in pursuance of the direction of this

Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed a copy of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurugram and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**' (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioner, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

**November 4, 2024**

*rps*

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |