

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.5253 of 2012

Date of decision: 21st January, 2014

Deep Chand

... Appellant

Versus

Ram Mehar and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR GARG

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Balwan Singh, Advocate for

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate for respondents No.4 and 5.

RAKESH KUMAR GARG, J. (ORAL)

Vakalatnama of Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5 has been filed in Court.

As per the office report, notice to respondent No.3 could not be issued as counsel for the appellant has not filed the correct address of the aforesaid respondent.

As per the further report, respondents No.1, 2 and 6 to 10 have been served. However, none has put in appearance on their behalf. Thus, they are ordered to be proceeded against ex-parte.

No one is present on behalf of the appellant.

Dismissed in default.

**(RAKESH KUMAR GARG)
JUDGE**

January 21, 2014

rps