



106

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8337-2024

Date of decision: 30.08.2024

Vinod Kumar alias Pamma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

The present criminal writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for setting aside order dated 06.08.2024 (Annexure P-1) vide which the pre-mature release case of the petitioner as wrongly rejected by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in view of the judgment of this Court passed in **CRWP No.8232 of 2022 (O&M)** titled as **'Pohlu @ Polu Ram Vs. State of Haryana and others'** decided on 05.02.2024, the impugned order, whereby, the case of pre-mature release of the petitioner was deferred for 02 years, could not have been passed.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana puts in appearance on receipt of advance notice and accepts the aforementioned legal position in view of the above mentioned judgment passed by this Court.

In view of the above, the impugned order dated 06.08.2024

CRWP-8337-2024

-2-

(Annexure P-1) stands quashed.

The State of Haryana is directed to re-consider the case of the petitioner for grant of pre-mature release and pass an order in accordance with law within a period of 04 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The present petition is disposed of accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

30.08.2024
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No