

233

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-45772-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.02.2024

Vishal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Yogeshwar Dayal Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU. J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C seeking bail pending trial in FIR No.214 dated 10.05.2023 registered under Sections 20(b) of Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, at Police Station, Kheripul, District Faridabad.

2. Allegations are that recovery of 4.200 kgs *Ganja* was effected from co-accused Mahesh. During investigation, on the basis of disclosure made by co-accused, petitioner was nominated in the present case.

3. This Court, on 09.11.2023, granted interim bail to the petitioner in the following order:-

“Contends that there is no recovery alleged against the petitioner; rather 04.200 kgs. of Ganja was recovered from co-accused, Mahesh. Also contends that there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner.

Learned State counsel seeks time to verify the above factual position.

Posted for 22.01.2024.

In the meanwhile, petitioner be released on interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds subject to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.”

4. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that recovery of 4.200 kgs *Ganja* is non-commercial in nature; petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court on 09.11.2023 and since then, he is regularly appearing before the learned trial Court. Also contends that there is no misuse of concession by the petitioner. Further contends that there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner.

5. Learned State counsel, upon instructions, has fairly acknowledged the above factual position.

6. Heard learned State counsel and perused the paper book.

7. Petitioner has already been granted interim bail by this Court and he is regularly appearing before the learned trial Court. There is no allegation that he is likely to misuse the concession of bail or hamper the proceedings in any manner, in case his interim bail is made absolute. Thus sending the petitioner to custody at this stage would not serve any purpose.

8. Consequently, the present petition is allowed. Interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 09.11.2023, is made absolute. He shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. Petitioner shall fully co-operate with the learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).

10. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11. It is clarified that in case there is any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State of Haryana would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order. Also clarified that non-appearance of petitioner before learned trial Court shall be construed as misuse of the concession.

12. Pending criminal misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

20.02.2024
SN

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No