



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

224

CRWP-8390 of 2024

Date of Decision:18.12.2024

Muradhu

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Mohd. Zeeshan Khan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Avneet, AAG, Punjab

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the instant petition is for issuance of mandamus upon the official respondents concerned to ensure the life and liberty of the present petitioner.

2. Vide order dated 31.08.2024, this Court has passed the following order:-

“The petitioner who has got registered an FIR bearing no.0016, dated 15.03.2024, under Sections 363 and 364 of the IPC (Sections 376-D IPC and Section 4 of POCSO Act, add later on), registered at Police Station Dera Baba Nanak, District Batala, has approached this Court by filing the instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for passing a '*mandamus*' upon the official respondents no.2 and 3, to protect his life and liberty at the hands of respondents no.4 to 7.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3, and waives service.

Notice upon respondents no.4 to 7, be issued, on furnishing the requisite process fee by the petitioners, returnable on within three weeks.

Adjourned to 09.09.2024.

In the meanwhile, the State is directed to file a status report with regard to the investigation in the FIR (*supra*), as well as to with regard to the threat perceptions as prescribed in the instant petition, if any, to the petitioner.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.”

3. In pursuance of directions issued by this Court, short reply dated 18.11.2024 by way of affidavit of Gurinderbir Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, East, Amritsar was filed in the Court on 19.11.2024, wherein it has specifically been mentioned that adequate measure has been taken to ensure the protection of the present petitioner.

4. In view of the specific affidavit, this Court is not inclined to pass any mandamus upon the official respondents concerned. However, in case the petitioner in future has any apprehension, he can approach learned trial Court concerned for redressal of his grievance, under apt provision of law.

5. **Disposed of** with the aforesaid liberty.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

December 18, 2024
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No