

CRM-M No. 42717 of 20241

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

121CRM-M No. 42717 of 2024
Decided on: 02.09.2024

Rajiv Mudgil.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA J.


FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
0030	06.01.2023	Shivaji Nagar, District Gurugram	174-A IPC

Seeking quashing of aforesaid FIR registered pursuant to proclamation order dated 31.08.2022, passed by the JMIC, Gurugram (Annexure P-1) the accused has come up before this court under Section 528 BNSS.

2. After dishonor of cheque which petitioner had given to the complainant, the complainant had filed a complaint against the petitioner under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act.

3. The accused-petitioner could not be served through the ordinary process, including summons, bailable warrants, and even non-bailable warrants. The concerned court finally proceeded against the petitioner under section 82 of CrPC and declared the petitioner a proclaimed offender vide aforesaid order.

4. After issuance of proclamation, the matter got settled between the parties. Complaint stands dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 12.07.2024 passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram, pronounced in Daily Lok Adalat.



CRM-M No. 42717 of 2024

2

5. The primary matter stands dismissed as withdrawn; there is no justification for continuing the ancillary proceedings under Section 174-A IPC. Consequently, in the facts and circumstances peculiar to this case, the petition is allowed. Above captioned FIR and all other consequential proceedings stands quashed qua the petitioner. Bail bonds and surety bonds, if any furnished, stand discharged. All pending applications, if any, stand closed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

02.09.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.