



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

105

CWP-22419-2024
Date of decision: 19.09.2024

Vinod Kumar ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Himanshu Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel would contend that the petitioner was not considered for the vacant post lying in ESM BCB category. In this regard, a legal demand notice dated 27.05.2024, Annexure P-14, has been served upon respondent No.1 however the same has yet not evoked any response. He thus, at this stage, on instructions, from the petitioner, prays that a direction is given to respondent No.1 to decide the same in a time bound manner.
2. Notice of motion.
3. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has no objection to the limited prayer made.
4. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the legal demand notice dated 27.05.2024, Annexure P-14, expeditiously, in accordance with law.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

19.09.2024
Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No