



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CWP-21322-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.08.2024

Gurbaksh Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Jaspal Singh Maanipur, Advocate for the petitioner

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer in the present petition is for quashing the order dated 15.01.2024.
2. Learned counsel would contend that the petitioner was placed under suspension vide order dated 15.01.2024, Annexure P-2, however, even after expiry of mandatory period of 90 days, as per Rule 5 of the Haryana Civil Services (Punishment and Appeal) Rules, 2016, no chargesheet has been issued to him, while he is being continued under suspension. In this regard, a representation dated 09.08.2024, Annexure P-3, has been submitted by him, but the same has yet not evoked any response. He thus, at this stage, on instructions from the petitioner, prays that a direction be given to the respondents to decide the same in a time bound manner.
3. Notice of motion.
4. At the asking of the Court, Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has no objection to the limited prayer made.
5. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the

case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation dated 09.08.2024, within a period of 4 weeks, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)

JUDGE

30.08.2024

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes / No

Whether reportable

:

Yes / No