

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SR. No.120

CRM-M-43293-2024

Date of decision:04.09.2024

Sukhwinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S. SHEKHAWAT

Present: Mr. I.S. Kooner, Advocate for the petitioner.

N.S. SHEKHAWAT, J.

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 582 of BNSS with a prayer to set aside the order dated 18.03.2024 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Additional District & Sessions Judge, Patiala, whereby the bail order of the petitioner was cancelled and the bail bonds and surety bonds of the petitioner were ordered to be forfeited to the State and the petitioner was ordered to be summoned through non-bailable warrants of arrest in case FIR No. 0008 dated 20.01.2021 under Section 15 of the NDPS Act registered at Police Station Kheri Gandian, District Patiala.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR in the present case was registered on 20.01.2021 and thereafter, she was ordered to be released on bail. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner was regularly appearing before the trial court during the course of trial. However, due to ill health and family problem, she could not appear before the trial court on 18.03.2024. Due to non-appearance of the petitioner, the trial Court

had cancelled the bail and the bail bonds and surety bonds were ordered to be forfeited to the State and the petitioner was ordered to be summoned through non-bailable warrants of arrest. Learned counsel further submits that the non appearance of the petitioner was unintentional and she is ready to surrender before the Court and shall join the trial proceedings. He further contends that the petitioner shall appear on each and every date of hearing, before the trial Court and shall not absent herself during the Court proceedings.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. I.P.S Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

5. Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner had not appeared intentionally before the trial Court and there is no illegality in the impugned order dated 18.03.2024 passed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. It is apparent that the FIR in the present case was registered in the year 2021 and the petitioner is facing the prosecution since then. From the record, it is apparent that on 18.03.2024, the petitioner could not appear before the trial Court and the trial Court had cancelled the bail and the bail bonds and surety bonds were ordered to be forfeited to the State.

8. Taking a lenient view of the matter, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is accepted and the petitioner is permitted to



Magistrate within a period of two weeks from today and on her surrender, she shall be admitted to bail subject to furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned Court.

9. At the time of furnishing of bail bonds, the petitioner shall also file an affidavit before the concerned Court that she shall continue to appear before the Court on each and every date of hearing and shall not absent herself during the court proceedings, except with prior permission of the Court.

10. The trial Court/Duty Magistrate shall also be at liberty to impose any other conditions, as it deems fit in the peculiar facts and circumstances of case.

11. The petition stands allowed in the above terms.

04.09.2024
mks

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:	YES / NO
Whether Reportable:	YES / NO