

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

2024:PHHC:015053

CRM-M-44363-2023

Date of decision: February 5th, 2024

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Dhruv Kaushik, Advocate
for Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

None for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.113 dated 07.08.2023 under Sections 308, 323, 325, 148, 149 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Boha, District Mansa.

2. Vide order dated 07.11.2023, the petitioner had been granted interim anticipatory bail with direction to join investigation and the relevant part of the order dated 07.11.2023 reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during pendency of the instant petition, parties have sorted out all their misunderstandings and amicably settled their disputes. It has also been submitted that the petitioner has not been attributed any injury in the occurrence in question.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 07.11.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Darshan Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 07.11.2023, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

February 5th, 2024
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No