



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42664-2024

Date of Decision : 25.11.2024

RAVINDER ALIAS BORI ALIAS CHHOTA

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 31.08.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS), prayer is made for grant of 'anticipatory bail' to the petitioners in case FIR no.141, dated 24.04.2024 (Annexure P-1), under Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sector 27, Sonipat, District Sonipat.

One Harshit alias Kaku, was arrested by the investigating agency on a secret information received that the said Harshit alias Kaku, was carrying .32 bore pistol, alongwith live cartridges and magazine, and upon his disclosure, during investigation he suffered a statement that the said pistol, live cartridges and magazine were sold to him by the present petitioner.

In asking for the relief (supra), learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in fact, though there are three other cases registered against the present petitioner, but in the instant case, except the disclosure statement, the prosecution is unable to present any inculpatory evidence against the present petitioner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State, and waives service.

Adjourned to 15.10.2024.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and on his doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner

shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so, and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

2. In defiance to the aforesaid order, the petitioner failed to join the investigation and he was granted two effective opportunities to join investigation vide orders dated 15.10.2024 and 12.11.2024. The petitioner was also burdened with a cost of Rs.35,000/- to be deposited with the District Legal Services Authority concerned.

3. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has also deposited the costs of Rs.35,000/-, as directed, for which he has produced a photocopy of the receipt.

5. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 31.08.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;
- (ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;
- (iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
8. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

November 25, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No