

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.44108 of 2023

Date of decision: 1st March, 2024

Deepak

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Munish Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Azaz Khan, Advocate for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.434 dated 14.07.2023 under Sections 34, 406, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Ballabhgarh, District Faridabad.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 08.12.2023, in the light of report received from the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court that the parties have amicably settled all their disputes, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 08.12.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, assisted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. She, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 08.12.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

March 1, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No