

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(210)

CRM-M-42760-2024

Date of Decision : 29.10.2024

Ravinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Ms. Komal Preet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Thakur, Advocate
for the complainant.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. This Court, on dated 02.09.2024, had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.132 dated 23.06.2024, under Sections 323, 506, 326, 34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Sadar Jalandhar, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present FIR is the outcome of a civil dispute between the parties, who are close relatives. In fact, the complainant party was the aggressor, who intruded into the house of the petitioner. Moreover, although a specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner, however, the said injury is a self suffered injury, and that too, on victim's right hand's



little finger.

3. Notice of motion for 14.10.2024.

4. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.

5. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him from the Investigating Officer concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 02.09.2024, is hereby made absolute, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

October 29, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No