



CWP-21334-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CWP-21334-2024

Date of Decision :30.08.2024

Rattan Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Lalit Chander Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner was initially appointed as Panchayat Secretary on 12.10.2001 but his services were terminated on 10.12.2002 and thereafter, he was once again appointed in the service on 20.02.2004 but he has not been given benefit of the service starting from 12.10.2001 despite the fact that petitioner has already been granted benefit of continuity in service from 10.12.2002 till 31.12.2008.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled for the grant of benefit of total length of service for computing his pensionary benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in legal notice dated 21.06.2024

(Annexure P/6), which is still pending consideration with the respondents

**CWP-21334-2024****-2-**

and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case, a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, legal notice dated 21.06.2024 (Annexure P/6)) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be extended to him otherwise, due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed, which will be conveyed to the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

August 30, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No