



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21229 of 2024

Date of decision: 30.08.2024

Sawinderpal @ Sawinderpal Kakkar

.....Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab State Warehousing Corporation and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: - Mr. Tahaf Bains, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Y.P. Khullar, Advocate,
for the respondents.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of *mandamus* directing respondent No.2 to decide the appeal dated 08.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner against order dated 11.06.2024/14.06.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.1 whereby a cut of 5% pension has been imposed upon the petitioner for a period of one year.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset prays for deciding the appeal dated 08.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner expeditiously by passing a speaking order within a time-bound frame.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Y.P. Khullar, Advocate, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the appeal filed by the petitioner shall be decided expeditiously.



5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
6. The appellate authority-respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the appeal dated 08.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
7. Since the statutory appeal is still pending and yet to be decided, it will be in the interest of justice that no further recovery be effected from the pension of the petitioner till the disposal of the appeal.
8. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

30.08.2024
R.S.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No