



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-42209-2024(O&M)

Date of Decision:-04.10.2024

Harpreet Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Punjab & Anr.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Paras Jagga, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Dr. Dharminder Singh Lamba, Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.

Mr. Gobind Singh Randhawa, Advocate for the Complainant.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

CRM-39281-2024

Allowed as prayed for.

Document annexed along with the application is taken on record as Annexure P-7. The Registry is directed to place the same at appropriate place and paginate the paper book accordingly.

CRM-M-42209-2024

The Prayer in this petition under Section 483 of BNSS Act, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail in case FIR No.18 dated 01.03.2024 under Sections 419, 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 120-B Ipc and Section 66(C) and 66(D) of the I.T. Act 2000 registered at Police Station Shimlapuri, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He was not named in the FIR but came to be nominated as an accused on the basis of disclosure statement of his co-accused. All of his co-accused have been granted the concession of bail. Meanwhile a compromise had been arrived at between



the parties. As the petitioner was in custody since 08.08.2024, he was entitled to the concession of bail.

5. The learned State counsel on the other hand contends that the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner did not entitle him to the concession as prayed for. He however concedes that the co-accused of the petitioner have been granted the concession of bail.

6. The Counsel for the complainant contends that a compromise had been arrived at between the parties. Therefore, he has no objection if the petitioner is granted the concession of bail in view of the said compromise.

7. I have heard counsel for the parties.

8. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner and his co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, a compromise had been arrived at between the parties. The co-accused of the petitioner have been granted the concession of bail. The petitioner is stated to be in custody since 08.08.2024. The Trial has not even begun till date. In this situation the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

7. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-**Harpreet Singh** son of Sh. Lal Singh is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

October 04, 2024

Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No