

CRM-M-41853-2024
Date of decision: 30.09.2024

...PETITIONERS

V/S

...RESPONDENTS

Present: Ms. Navjot Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Abhishek Thakur, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.55 dated 28.03.2018 under Section 66D of Information Technology Act, 2000 (Section 67 of I.T. Act and Sections 354-D & 500 of IPC were added later on), registered at Police Station City Moga, District Moga (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 08.08.2024 (Annexure P-2).

“This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.55 dated 28.03.2018 under Section 66D of Information Technology Act, 2000 (Section 67 of I.T. Act and Sections 354-D & 500 of IPC were added later on), registered at Police Station City Moga, District Moga (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 08.08.2024 (Annexure P-2).

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Abhishek Thakur, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files Power of Attorney, which is taken on



record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834* and *Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.55 dated 28.03.2018 under Section 66D of Information Technology Act, 2000 (Section 67 of I.T. Act and Sections 354-D & 500 of IPC were added later on), registered at Police Station City Moga, District Moga (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

September 30, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- (i)

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No
- (ii)

Whether reportable

Yes/No