



112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41867-2024

Date of Decision:- 04.10.2024

Rakesh Rani and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Anterpreet Singh, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Rakesh Rani and Charan Kanwaljit Singh have filed this petition for directing respondents No.2 and 3 not to harass petitioners unnecessarily, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present petition.

2. Learned counsel for petitioners conceded that son of petitioners namely Jaskaranjit Singh was involved in two cases under NDPS Act. He was granted interim bail by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in Criminal Appeal No.3101 of 2024 titled as "Jaskaranjit Singh @ Karan vs. State of Punjab" vide order dated 26.07.2024 (Annexure R-1), according to which he was to surrender on 03.08.2024 before 5:00 PM. However, son of petitioners name Jaskaranjit Singh @ Karan did not surrender as per the directions of Hon'ble Apex Court and escaped along with his wife and minor child. It is pointed out that now petitioners who are old parents are being harassed by respondents No.2 and 3 to know about the whereabouts of Jaskaranjit



Singh @ Karan.

3. Status report was called for and the same is filed and taken on record.
4. Today, learned counsel for petitioners informed that Charan Kanwaljit Singh (Petitioner No.2) who was suffering from cancer has expired on 17.09.2024.
5. Learned counsel representing State has referred to para No.8 and 9 of the status report confirming that petitioners were never harassed by investigating agency. They are not required for investigation of said FIR. Whenever, presence of petitioners is required, they will follow due course of law.
5. I have considered the aforesaid factual position. Jaskaranjit Singh @ Karan son of petitioners instead of surrendering as per the directions of Hon'ble Apex Court managed to escape along with his wife and minor child. Therefore, in order to locate the whereabouts of son of petitioners, they are taking action as per law. It is assured that petitioner No.1 will not be harassed or unnecessarily called except in due course of law.
6. In light of this, no further direction is required and present petition is accordingly disposed of.
7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

04.10.2024

sunil devi

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No