



CRM-M-42345-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42345-2024
Date of decision: 30.09.2024

SUNIL JETHIPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANRRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Kanwar Jasjeet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Iqbalpreet Singh, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Divya Gulati, Advocate for respondent No.2.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-2) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 21.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
300	13.10.2014	420, 120-B IPC	Jandiala Guru, District Amritsar (Rural)

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise dated 21.08.2024 (Annexure P-4), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to



CRM-M-42345-2024

-2-

report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, vide report dated 23.09.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 31.08.2024, an amount of Rs.20000/- has been deposited with the Society for the Care of Blind, Sector 26, Chandigarh and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/ accused person.

5. In these circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 300 dated 13.10.2014, under Sections 420, 120-B IPC registered at P.S. Jandiala Guru, District Amritsar (Rural) (Annexure P-2) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

30.09.2024
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |