

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(272)

CWP No. 19335 of 2023
Date of Decision : 29.04.2024

Dr. Ritu Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sardavinder Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, D.A.G., Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has instructions not to press the present petition any further but there are posts lying vacant near Sonapat where the petitioner can be adjusted, hence, petitioner be given liberty to approach the respondents for her adjustment near Sonapat against the vacant post and the respondents be directed to decide the said claim in a time bound manner so that petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioner, the same will be looked into and will be decided in accordance with law and in case, the relief claimed herein is found feasible to be extended to her, the said claim will be

accepted, otherwise, due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner while passing the speaking order, which will be passed within a period of four weeks of the receipt of any such claim.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.
4. Ordered accordingly.

April 29th, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No