



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(127)

CWP No. 21658 of 2024
Date of Decision : 02.09.2024

Shakuntla Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Saurabh Bahmani, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the mother-in-law of the petitioner, namely, Paramjit Kaur was working with the respondents and had unfortunately died while in service after which date, the husband of the petitioner was given appointment on compassionate ground. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the husband of the petitioner, namely, Bhupinder Pal Sidhu also unfortunately died on 27.10.2017 and thereafter, no benefit in respect of the service rendered by the mother-in-law of the petitioner has been extended in favour of the petitioner, who is the only legal heir to get the said benefit, hence, respondents be directed to grant the petitioner all the benefits in respect of the service rendered by the mother-in-law of the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 26.06.2024



(Annexure P-17) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 26.06.2024 (Annexure P-17) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

September 02, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No