



CWP-21309-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(114)

CWP-21309-2024

Date of Decision : August 30, 2024

Dr. Manmohan Sammi and another**.. Petitioners****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that the arrears of revised pension have been given to the petitioners after a period of 10 to 11 years hence, on the said arrears, the petitioners are entitled for the grant of interest as keeping in view the judgment of the Coordinate Bench of this in Apart from this, a Coordinate Bench of this Court in *J.S. Cheema Vs. State of Haryana, 2014(13) RCR (Civil) 35*.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 15.05.2021 (Annexure P-8) with the reminder dated 10.04.2024 (Annexure P-9) which are still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing

an appropriate speaking order.

**CWP-21309-2024****2**

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, learned Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the legal notice dated 15.05.2021 (Annexure P-8) with the reminder dated 10.04.2024 (Annexure P-9) have been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same are still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioners, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

August 30, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No