

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.20058 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.03.2024

Kapil Singla
.....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Ms. Harmanpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Arundhati Kulshreshtha, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed this writ petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents to decide all pending representations moved by him. Further, prayer has been made for directing the respondents to release the arrears/salary of the petitioner for the period w.e.f 20.09.2018 to 30.09.2018 and 23.04.2019 to 10.07.2019, alongwith interest from the due date till payment and further to confirm the services of the petitioner and not to initiate any coercive action against the petitioner.
2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 05.03.2024, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 submits that the claim of the petitioner is with regard to release of his salary for the period i.e. 20.09.2018 to 30.09.2018 and 23.04.2019 to 10.07.2019 and the same has already been paid to the petitioner and further his service has also been confirmed on 12.10.2023 and nothing survives in the present petition. The said fact has already been recorded in the order dated 15.11.2023.

In view of the written request for an adjournment circulated on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner, case is adjourned to 11.03.2024.

It is made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted on the next date of hearing.”

3. Learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 submits that the representations of the petitioner (Annexures P-11, P-12 and P-14) in so far as the allegations of the petitioner of being slapped by one Ms. Ritu Mittal, Junior Assistant, have already been considered and consigned to Record Room. He submits that the instant petition has been rendered infructuous.

4. The said contention of the learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 has not been disputed by the counsel for the petitioner.

5. Disposed of as having become infructuous.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

11.03.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No