



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CWP-20959-2024

Date of Decision : 28.08.2024

CHIMNA DEVI

... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL


Present : Mr.Nitesh Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 10.01.2024 (Annexure P-2) and direction to respondents to count the service rendered as Special Police Officer for qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in ***Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab in CWP No.2371 of 2010 and Sukhjit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others in CWP No.9936 of 2017.***



CWP-20959-2024 2

3. On being confronted with judgments of this Court, Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab, who on advance notice is present in Court, expressed his inability to support impugned order dated 10.01.2024 (Annexure P-2).
4. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of. The impugned order dated 10.01.2024 (Annexure P-2) is hereby set aside and the respondents are directed to consider case of the petitioner for family pension.

28.08.2024
anju

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No