



CRM-M-42903-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(211)

CRM-M-42903-2024
Date of Decision : 16.10.2024

Sudesh Dass Antwal ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Rajender Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. This Court, on dated 05.09.2024, had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner has sought the concession of his being enlarged on anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.459 dated 02.09.2023, under Sections 120-B, 419, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Naraingarh, District Ambala.

While relying upon the order dated 14.05.2024, in CRM-M-2519-2024, passed by this Court, vide which the co-accused of the petitioner was granted the relief of pre-arrest bail, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is on co-pedestal with that co-accused, and therefore, he may be granted the relief of anticipatory bail.
Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional A.G., Haryana, waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

List on 16.10.2024.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency



as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bond to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel, on instructions imparted to him from the investigating officer concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner had joined the investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 05.09.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;*
- (ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;*
- (iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”*

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say, that anything observed hereinabove, shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion, on the merits of the case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

October 16, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No