



204

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-41685-2024

Date of decision: 30.09.2024

Amandeep Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in
case bearing FIR No.48 dated 23.07.2024 under Sections 108/3(5) of BNS
registered at Police Station Sadar Abohar, District Fazilka (Annexure P-1).

On 28.08.2024, the following order was passed:-

*'The instant petition is preferred under Section 482 of
Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail
in FIR No.48 dated 23.07.2024 under Sections 108 & 3(5) of
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at Police Station Sadar
Abohar, District Fazilka.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the
petitioner is married sister-in-law of the deceased and she has no
involvement in her marital life. She has been dragged into this
controversy only for the reason that she is cousin of husband of
deceased. No specific allegation of instigation has been levelled
against the petitioner.*

Notice of motion for 30.09.2024.

*Keeping in view the ratio of law enunciated by the Hon'ble
Supreme Court in **Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar, (2014) 8 SCC
273, Arnab Manoranjan Goswami Vs. State of Maharashtra, (2021)
2 SCC 427, Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI, (2022) 10 SCC 51,
Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and ors.,
2010 SCC OnLine SC 1375 and Shri Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia Vs.
State of Punjab, (1980) 2 SCC 565**, the petitioner is directed to
appear before Investigating Officer within a period of two weeks from
today and thereafter, as directed by the Investigating Officer. In the*



CRM-M-41685-2024

-2-

event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of investigating/arresting officer and the petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation. If the arresting officer does not permit the petitioner to join the investigation, the petitioner would appear before the learned Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the arresting officer and direct him to join the petitioner in the investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion by this Court and the trial Court shall decide the same on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.'

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Lakhwinder Singh, at the very outset informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 28.08.2024 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

30.09.2024*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No