



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 20879 of 2024

Date of decision: 28.08.2024

Ashish Yadav

.... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**


Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the limited grievance that the petitioner has is: that despite the order dated April 09, 2024 (P-20) passed by the Director, Town and Country Planning, Haryana, vide which, District Town Planner (E), Gurugram, was directed to take necessary action against the unauthorized construction that exists in khasra No.26/1/2, the matter has not made any tangible progress, even though nearly 6 months have passed. So much so, even the legal notice dated May 09, 2024 (P-21), the authorities have been served with, in this regard, has failed to evoke any response.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, is present in Court on behalf of the respondents No.1 and 6. At the outset, he, on instructions, submits that the matter is under active consideration of the authorities and necessary orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed. Further, he submits that before any such orders are passed, the petitioner shall also be heard. And a formal communication in this regard will be issued to him, well in advance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is agreeable to the course suggested by the learned State counsel and submits that let the petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by him.



In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties, this petition is accordingly disposed of.

This Court is sanguine that the authority shall consider/examine the matter in the right earnest, and pass appropriate orders, assigning reasons in support thereof.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated earlier, the competent authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

28.08.2024
deepak

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No