



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101

CRM-M No. 41457 of 2024
Date of Decision:28.08.2024

Taranjeet Singh

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate, for
Mr. Paramjit Singh Bal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab

(Proceedings conducted through VC/hybrid mode)

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

FIR No.	Date	Police Station	Under Sections
69	28.03.2024	Samrala, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana	177, 420, 506, 120-B IPC and Section 67 of the Information Technology Act, 2000.

- At the outset, State counsel submits that petitioner was convicted in an NDPS case, but there is no averment qua the said fact in the petition.
- Faced with the situation, counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to file fresh after mentioning the previous criminal history of the petitioner.

3. Given above, present petition stands disposed of as withdrawn. It is clarified that filing and withdrawal of the present petition shall not come in the way of the petitioner in case, fresh petition is filed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

August 28, 2024
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No