

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204

CRM-M-43548-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.02.2024

HARDEEP SINGH ...Petitioner
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM : HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Vikram Satpal Anand, Advocat for
Mr. Mohd. Jamel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Mohd. Yusuf, Advocate &
Ms. Arjoo Modi, Advocate for the complainant

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

Through the instant petition under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.15 dated 13.07.2023 registered under Sections 406, 498-A of Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Malerkotla, District Malerkotla .

2. Vide order dated 01.09.2023 passed by this Court, the petitioner was released on interim bail and was directed to join investigation.
3. Learned State counsel has submitted that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, but some dowry articles could not be recovered at the instance of the petitioner and the petitioner is not cooperating with the investigating agency to that extent. However, he has not submitted as to in what manner, the cooperation had not been extended by the petitioner. Mere non recovery of some disputed dowry articles cannot by itself be a ground for denial of bail as has also been observed by the Delhi High Court in case titled as ‘*Jagdish*

Thakkar vs. State of Delhi, 1992 (3) CCR 2764’ and in case titled as ‘*Pooran Singh vs. State of Delhi*, 2022(1) RCR (Criminal) 503.

4. In view of the said facts, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 01.09.2023 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

15.02.2024

Deepak Patwal

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO