

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.43668 of 2023

Date of decision: 1st March, 2024

Vinay Kumar @ Vinay Seth

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Om Malhan, Advocate for
Mr. Mandeep S. Sachdev, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Navdeep Singh, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.253 dated 19.11.2021 under Sections 420, 120-B of the IPC (Sections 467, 468, 471 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station A Division, District Amritsar.

2. On 01.09.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was in possession of the property and even otherwise also the allegation was pertaining to fabrication of Power of Attorney which can be only adduced by way of an evidence. He submitted that the petitioner undertakes to join investigation in all respects

and also undertakes to fully co-operate with the investigation process.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 01.09.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 01.09.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

March 1, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No