



CRM-M-42083-2024 (O&M)

101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42083-2024 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 21.11.2024

RAMAN

.....PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. P.K.S. Phoolkan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the *Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita*, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 87, dated 31.07.2024, under Sections 137 (2), 96 and 61 (2) of the *Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita*, 2023, registered at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda.

2. The petitioner was directed to join the investigation, as per the order dated 29.08.2024, passed by this Bench.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State confirms that the petitioner has joined investigation in terms of the aforesaid order and also contends that his further custodial interrogation is not required.

3.1 He also informs that petitioner is the uncle of co-accused Sonu who has also been arrested and even the prosecutrix, Axxx appeared on



CRM-M-42083-2024 (O&M)

04.09.2024 and her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. has also been recorded. She disclosed that she had gone as per her own wishes and presently she is residing with her parents. The prosecutrix has also refused for her medical examination.

4. The allegations against the petitioner are matter of trial.

5. In view of the reasons recorded in the order dated 29.08.2024 and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation, his further custodial interrogation is not required by the Investigating Agency, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 29.08.2024, granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

6. However, it is made clear that nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of the State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of the order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

NOVEMBER 21, 2024
nitin

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking	Yes
Whether Reportable	No