

114+115+116+126
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2024:PHHC:111780



CWP-20850-2024
Date of Decision: 29.08.2024

SUMAN **...Petitioner**
Vs.

HARYANA STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION AND OTHERS **...Respondents**

2. CWP-20934-2024

VIJAY LAKSHMI **...Petitioner**
Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS **...Respondents**

3. CWP-20994-2024

DIVYA KAMBOJ **...Petitioner**
Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS **...Respondents**

4. CWP-21160-2024

RAJESH KUMAR **...Petitioner**
Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER **...Respondents**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Jitender Kumar Sehrawat, Advocate,
Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate,
Mr. Sandeep Kumar Tada, Advocate and
Mr. Shailender Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

All the petitions have been decided together with the consent
of learned counsel for the petitioners, as common issue on similar facts
arises for consideration therein.



2. The petitioners applied for the post of Trained Graduate Teachers (TGT) in different subjects pursuant to advertisement no. 2/2023, and appeared in the selection process as General category candidates. The result of selection was declared on 27.07.2024, Annexure P-5, and the selected candidates have been offered appointments as well. At this stage, the petitioners have approached this Court with the claim that they belong to Reserve category (BCA/BCB), and only due to the requisite fresh certificate not having been issued by the concerned authorities before the closing date, they could not apply for the post under the reserve category; per force, they had to appear as General category candidates. The petitioners are in possession of fresh certificates now, and their candidature should be considered in the reserve category.
3. The issue already stands decided against the petitioners in CWP-18628-2024, *Nisha v. State of Haryana and others*, holding that after participating in the selection process as General category candidates, a candidate cannot be permitted to change the category. The observations are as under:

It is apparent on record that the petitioner filled up the application form dated 15.03.2023, Annexure P-5, as a General category candidate, and was issued roll no./admit card dated 12.04.2023, Annexure P-7, for appearing in the written examination, slated for 14.05.2023, as a General category candidate. She never objected to the same, and participated in the selection process as a General category candidate. The result of selection was declared on 27.07.2024, and she was not selected. The appointments



have (already) been given to the selected candidates. In this situation, now the petitioner cannot be allowed to turn around and claim eligibility under BC-A category, as she never applied under the same.

3. In view thereof, no ground to entertain the present petitions is made out.

4. Dismissed.

5. A photocopy of the order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

29.08.2024

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No