



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8276-2024

Date of Decision:- 30.08.2024

ANUJ AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Ms. Navdeep Kaur Brar, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Anuj and Tisha have filed present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 to 5 to protect their life and liberty from the hands of respondents No.6 to 9.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. Date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 13.12.2005. Copy of his Aadhar Card is Annexure P-1. Date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 20.07.2003. Copy of her Aadhar Card is Annexure P-2. Both petitioners are residing together and want to perform marriage after attaining marriageable age. Petitioners moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, District Ludhiana, which is Annexure P-3. They also moved representation to Superintendent of Police Kaithal, which is Annexures P-4. They are apprehending threats from respondent Nos.6 to 9, who are parents and family members of petitioner No.2.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State/respondent Nos.1, 2 and 4.
5. Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State - respondent Nos.3 and 5.
6. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.
7. I have considered facts narrated in the petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they are residing against wishes of respondent Nos.6 to 9, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of said respondents. It is duty of police to protect life of petitioners. Therefore, respondent Nos.2 and 3 are directed to consider representations of petitioners [Annexure P-3 submitted to respondent No.3 and Annexure P-4 submitted to respondent No.2] and protect life of petitioners from the hands of respondent Nos.6 to 9 considering threat perception.
8. Aforesaid protection order will not protect petitioners in case there is violation of law or pending legal proceedings.
9. Petition is accordingly disposed of.
10. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

30.08.2024

snd

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.

Whether reportable: Yes/No