

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

281

CRM-M-50292-2021

Date of decision: 30.01.2024

Jatin Aggarwal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Tung, DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Case has been called twice, however, even on second call there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

2. It would be relevant to reproduce all the orders right from 14.12.2021, which read as under:-

“Order dated 14.12.2021

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Senior DAG, Punjab.

* * *

There is no appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 01.02.2022.

Order dated 23.12.2021

Present : None for the applicant-petitioner.

Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

No ground for preponement of the date of hearing in the main case is made out.

Dismissed.

Order dated 01.02.2022

Present: *Mr.J.S.Moudgil, Advocate, for the petitioner.*

Ms.Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

...

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The petitioner, who is only one of the accused in the impugned FIR, seeks quashing of the FIR in question only qua him on the basis of a written compromise.

Notice of motion.

Ms.Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab and Mr.J.S.Bhinder, Advocate, accept notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and respondent No.2 respectively and pray for time to file their respective replies.

Adjourned to 25.07.2022.

Order dated 15.02.2023

Present: Mr. J.S.Moudgil, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

CRM-5391-2023

Application is for preponment of the main petition, which is fixed for 19.07.2023.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed.

The main case is taken on board today itself.

CRM-M-50292-2021

Learned counsel prays for an adjournment to cite some case laws in support of his submissions wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court has laid down the parameters with respect to partial quashing of the FIR in question.

On his request, adjourned to 14.03.2023.

Order dated 28.03.2023

*Present : Mr. J.S. Moudgil, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

On request of learned counsel for the petitioner, case is adjourned to 10.07.2023.

Order dated 13.07.2023

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Senior Deputy Advocate General,

Punjab.

Case has been called twice but there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 16.10.2023.

Order dated 16.10.2023

Present: None for the petitioner.

*Mr. Amit Rana, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.*

Case has been called twice, however, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Purely in the interest of justice, adjourned to 30.01.2024.”

3. A perusal of all the above reproduced orders reveal that except on one date, either there had been a request for adjournment or none had appeared for the petitioner. Even today, none has appeared for the petitioner despite the case being called twice. It seems that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition.

4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

30.01.2024

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No