



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1. CRM-M No.41678 of 2024

Gurdip Singh & others
... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others
... Respondents

2. CRM-M No.41775 of 2024

Sukhmander Singh @ Pappu & others
... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others
... Respondents

Date of decision: 4th November, 2024

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Suraj Kaundal, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M No.41678 of 2024;
for private respondents in CRM-M No.41775 of 2024.

Mr. Rajan S. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M No.41775 of 2024;
for private respondents in CRM-M No.41678 of 2024.

Mr. Rubal Pawar, Asst. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The aforementioned two petitions have been filed under
Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.172 dated 16.12.2022 under
Sections 365, 341, 342, 323, 325, 148 and 149 of the IPC as well as its cross
case being Rapat No.23 dated 16.12.2022 under Sections 341, 323, 148 and
149 of the IPC registered at Police Station Dayalpura, District Bathinda along

with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 13.08.2024 (Annexures P-3 and P-2) effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 29.08.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Phul, in pursuance of the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR/Rapat qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed a copy of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondents are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR/Rapat in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Phul and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**' (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, both

the instant petitions are allowed. The aforesaid FIR/Rapat and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioners, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

November 4, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No