



CRWP-8102-2024

-1-

**131 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8102-2024

Date of Decision:23.08.2024

Deepu Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Kulwinder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to provide security to the petitioners and save their lives from the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 and their relatives since the petitioners have performed marriage against their wishes and also to ensure that the petitioners are not implicated in some false criminal cases at the instance of respondents No.4 to 7 and their associates.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents no.4 to 7 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents no.4 to 7. Their marriage certificate as well as marriage photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 21.08.2024 (Annexure P-5) to Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa. However, he submits that he has not made Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa as party respondent in the present petition. He prays for impleading the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa as party

respondent.

3. On his oral request, Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.2 in the present petition. Amended memo of party is taken on record.

4. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

5. Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

6. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

7. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

23.08.2024

sharmila

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Whether Reportable

:

:

Yes/No

Yes/No