



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

223

CRM-M-41850-2024
Date of decision: 28.11.2024

Pritam Singh NambardarPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Pawandeep Singh, Advocate, with
Mr. Rahul Rohilla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C./Section 482 BNSS, in case FIR No.151 dated 15.07.2024 under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the IPC, registered at Police Station City Sangrur, District Sangrur.

2. On the last date of hearing, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel inter alia contends that the petitioner is not a beneficiary of any of the alleged sale transactions; the only role attributed to the petitioner is of having wrongly identified the impersonator in place of the actual owner Roshan Lal.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 28.08.2024, the petitioner has joined

investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is allowed and interim order dated 28.08.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS/ 438(2) Cr.P.C..

28.11.2024.
anil

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No