



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

311

CRM-M-41101-2024

Date of decision: October 28th, 2024

Surjit Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Mohit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Maan Akashdeep Singh, Advocate
for Mr. J.S. Ghumman, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.160 dated 26.11.2019 under Sections 420, 120-B of the IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Navi Baradari, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 05.03.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 28.08.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 27.09.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned



CRM-M-41101-2024

-2-

Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the copies of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and private respondents are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

October 28th, 2024
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No