

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARHSr. No.123RA-CW-331-2024 inCWP-18797-2024Date of decision : 22.08.2024

Sanjeev Kumar and others

..... Review-applicants/petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present : Mr.Ankur Sidhar, Advocate, for the review-applicants/petitioners.

* * * * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through order dated 06.08.2024 the review-applicants' writ petition was dismissed through the following order: -

*"Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the case of the petitioners is covered against them by the judgment dated 12.05.2023 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench in a bunch of petitions in which the lead case was **CWP-24605-2022 – Ashish Kumar and another Vs. State of Haryana and others.***

In the light of the above, this petition is also dismissed in the terms of Ashish Kumar's case (supra)."

2. A perusal of the afore quoted order reveals that the applicants' writ petition was dismissed after learned counsel for the applicants stated that the applicants' writ petition required dismissal in the light of judgment of a Division Bench of this Court dated 12.05.2023 in *CWP-24605-2022-Ashish Kumar and another vs. State of Haryana and others.*

3. It is not disputed before us that the statement on the basis whereof the applicant's petition was dismissed had not been made. Therefore, the impugned order does not warrant to be reviewed especially when Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.18153/2024-*Sanjeev Kumar and others vs. The State of*



RA-CW-331-2024

[2]

Hayana and others filed by the applicants to challenge the afore quoted order also stands dismissed by the Supreme Court on 14.08.2024.

4. Dismissed.

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

22.08.2024
shamsher

[DEEPAK MANCHANDA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No