

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109+110 Date of Decision: 19.09.2024

1) CWP-21958-2024

PRIYANKA KUMARI AND OTHERS ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondent(s)

2) CWP-22124-2024

SHARVAN KUMAR ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA


Present:- Mr. Sarthak Gupta, Advocate (in CWP-21958-2024)
Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate (in CWP-22124-2024)
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

These petitions are based upon similar facts involving common issues, accordingly, the same are being decided together. The grievance raised by the petitioners is that they have been offered appointments in Mewat cadre, ignoring their merit and preference(s) for Rest of Haryana cadre which is arbitrary and illegal.

2. The petitioners' results as well as scrutiny forms have been produced, a perusal whereof shows that they have secured more marks than those of the last selected candidates in their respective categories, and have opted for Rest of Haryana cadre as first preference. Accordingly, it appears that on account of some mistake on the respondents' part the petitioners have



been allocated Mewat cadre instead of Rest of Haryana, which they are entitled to as per the preference given, as also on merit.

3. Learned State counsel does not dispute that the petitioners herein are similarly placed as petitioners in CWP-19489-2024 titled *Krishan Kumar and another v. State of Haryana and others*, decided on 04.09.2024.

4. In view thereof, the petitions stand disposed of in terms of *Krishan Kumar* case *ibid*, by directing the Commission to correct the error/mistake in the merit list, and recommend the petitioners for appointment as TGTs in Rest of Haryana cadre in their respective subjects and categories as per merit. The second respondent shall, thereupon, offer appointment(s) to the petitioners, subject to their fulfilling the requisite conditions, from the date other selected candidates pursuant to the selection in question have been appointed. The directions shall be carried out by the respondents within a period of four weeks from receiving a certified copy of the order.

5. A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

19.09.2024
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>